UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BRIAN SHABAZZ PALMER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

CITY OF NEW YORK; NYC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendants.

22-CV-5333 (LTS)
ORDER TO AMEND

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is currently detained in the Anna M. Kross Center (AMKC) on Rikers Island, brings this *pro se* action alleging that Defendants violated his rights. The Court construes the complaint as asserting constitutional claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Named as Defendants are the City of New York and the New York City Department of Correction (DOC). By order dated July 6, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP), that is, without prepayment of fees. ¹ For the reasons set forth below, the Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within 60 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss a complaint, or portion thereof, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B), 1915A(b); *see Abbas v. Dixon*, 480 F.3d 636, 639 (2d Cir. 2007). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3). While the law mandates dismissal on any

¹ Prisoners are not exempt from paying the full filing fee even when they have been granted permission to proceed IFP. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1).

of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original).

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff states that the events giving rise to his claims occurred on "03-22-22, 05-18-22, 05-05-22 and etc." (ECF 2, at 4.) The complaint is confusing and much of it is devoted to describing incidents that occurred to other Rikers inmates.² The following allegations are taken from the complaint and are limited to those incidents and events that directly involve Plaintiff. On May 5, 2022, the mailroom withheld Plaintiff's mail that Plaintiff believes will "exonerate" him. (*Id.* at 4.) Although it is unclear whether Plaintiff is referring to the same incident, he accuses unspecified individuals of "maliciously intentional/negligently witholding priviledge mail and mail with evidence that could've exonerate him from [his] pending case." (*Id.* at 5.)

On May 18, 2022, Plaintiff put a "letter with a motion" in the mail and it "sat in the Bubble for 6 days and more" even though Plaintiff alerted jail officials. (*Id.* at 4.) He alleges that officers follow an "unwritten policy since 03-22-22 on the first test." (*Id.*) Plaintiff further

(ECF 2, at 4) (all errors in original throughout).

² For example, Plaintiff states,

Mr. Andre Antrobus warned us for months it happen too him 4 times in the past that D-O-C- employees from captains, officers and staff threaten him by orders of the D.A. to deny mail, phone, law library and etc! Also taken evidence from him that we witness 25 D-O-C employees threaten him also taken his evidence for 4 months that would exonerate him from false charges which is a due process violation!!

alleges that his mail "got food and water stains on it," (*id.* at 4), and he accuses unspecified individuals of "destroying the contents" of his mail by "wetting it," (*id.* at 5.)

Plaintiff seeks money damages.

DISCUSSION

The Court construes Plaintiff's allegations as asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 that Defendants violated his First Amendment right of access to the courts and tampered with his mail. To state a claim under Section 1983, a plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a "state actor." *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988).

A. Claims against the New York City Department of Correction

Plaintiff's claims against the DOC must be dismissed because an agency of the City of New York is not an entity that can be sued. N.Y. City Charter ch. 17, § 396 ("[A]ll actions and proceedings for the recovery of penalties for the violation of any law shall be brought in the name of the city of New York and not in that of any agency, except where otherwise provided by law."); Jenkins v. City of New York, 478 F.3d 76, 93 n.19 (2d Cir. 2007); see also Emerson v. City of New York, 740 F. Supp. 2d 385, 396 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) ("[A] plaintiff is generally prohibited from suing a municipal agency."). The Court therefore dismisses Plaintiff's claims against DOC for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

B. Constitutional claims

The Court liberally construes Plaintiff's claims that Defendants interfered with his mail as arising under the First Amendment. A prisoner's First Amendment rights encompass the right to "adequate, effective and meaningful" access to the courts and to the free flow of incoming and outgoing mail. *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817, 822 (1977); *Davis v. Goord*, 320 F.3d 346, 351

(2d Cir. 2003). "[C]ourts have consistently afforded greater protection to legal mail than to non-legal mail, as well as greater protection to outgoing mail than to incoming mail." *Davis*, 320 F.3d at 351 (citing *Thornburgh v. Abbott*, 490 U.S. 401, 413 (1989)).

Plaintiff's allegations concerning his legal mail implicate both an access-to-courts claim and a general mail tampering claim.

1. Access-to-courts Claim

Prisoners have "a constitutional right of access to the courts [that] gives rise to a number of derivative rights, including the right to access legal materials to prepare a case, and the right of indigent inmates to be provided with paper and pens to draft legal documents and stamps to mail them." *Collins v. Goord*, 581 F. Supp. 2d 563, 573 (S.D.N.Y. 2008) (citing *Bounds*, 420 U.S. at 824-28). Protecting these rights "requires prison authorities to assist inmates in the preparation and filing of meaningful legal papers by providing prisoners with adequate law libraries or adequate assistance from persons trained in the law." *Bourdon v. Loughren*, 386 F.3d 88, 92–93 (2d Cir. 2004) (quoting *Bounds*, 420 U.S. at 821, 828). Assistance from prison authorities, however, is "only the means for ensuring a reasonably adequate opportunity to present claimed violations of fundamental constitutional rights to the courts." *Lewis v. Casey*, 518 U.S. 343, 351 (1996) (internal quotation marks omitted).

To state a claim for denial of access to the courts, a plaintiff must allege facts showing that the defendant's conduct: (1) "was deliberate and malicious," and (2) "resulted in actual injury to the plaintiff such as the dismissal of an otherwise meritorious legal claim." *Davis*, 320 F.3d at 351 (internal quotation marks omitted); *see also Christopher Harbury*, 536 U.S. 403, 415 (2002). To demonstrate actual injury, a plaintiff must allege: (1) a valid underlying cause of action separate from the right-of-access claim; and (2) frustration or hindrance of the litigation caused by the defendant's actions. *See Harbury*, 546 U.S. at 415. A mere "delay in being able to

work on one's legal action or communicate with the courts does not rise to the level of a constitutional violation." *Jermosen v. Coughlin*, 877 F. Supp. 864, 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) (citing *Jones v. Smith*, 784 F.2d 149, 151- 52 (2d Cir. 1986)).

Here, to the extent Plaintiff is alleging that Defendants interfered with his legal mail, he does not allege the existence of a valid underlying cause of action that he was prevented from litigating due to Defendants' actions. Plaintiff's allegations that his mail contained information that would exonerate him suggest that he may be implying that his criminal case was hindered as a result of delays or withholding of his mail. Plaintiff is represented by counsel in his criminal case, however, and he fails to allege any facts explaining why his counsel would be unable to assert any claims on Plaintiff's behalf. *See Bourdon*, 386 F.3d at 98 ("[W]hen a prisoner with appointed counsel claims that he was hindered by prison officials in his efforts to defend himself or pursue other relevant legal claims, he must show that, on the facts of his case, the provision of counsel did not furnish him with the capability of bringing his challenges before the courts.")

Because Plaintiff does not allege the existence of a valid underlying cause of action and does not explain why his defense attorney could not press his argument for exoneration in his criminal case, he fails to state an access-to-courts claim under the First Amendment.

2. Mail-tampering Claim

To state a claim based on general mail tampering, a plaintiff must allege that the incidents: (1) suggest an ongoing practice of censorship unjustified by a substantial government interest, or (2) have unjustifiably chilled the prisoner's right of access to the court or impaired his legal representation. *Davis*, 320 F.3d at 351. "[A]n isolated incident of mail tampering is usually insufficient to establish a constitutional violation." *Id.* at 351-52. As few as two incidents of mail tampering, however, may constitute a First Amendment violation if indicative of "regular" and

"unjustifiable" interference with a prisoner's mail. *Id.* at 351; *see Washington v. James*, 782 F.2d 1134, 1139 (2d Cir. 1986).

Plaintiff does not allege enough facts to suggest that Defendants interfered with his mail in a manner that rises to the level of a constitutional violation. He alleges one incident where his mail was "withheld," and another incident in which a motion he placed in the mail was held in the "bubble" for "6 days." (ECF 2, at 4.) He also alleges, without providing any dates or other specific facts, that his mail had food and water stains on it and that an unspecified individual "destroyed" the contents of his mail by "wetting it and etc." (*Id.* at 5.) Plaintiff's allegations do not suggest that Defendants subjected him to regular and unjustifiable interference with his mail or that such interference affected his ability to access the courts. Moreover, Plaintiff does not name as defendants the individual DOC officers whom he alleges interfered with his mail.

The Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint naming as defendants the individual DOC officers whom he alleges violated his rights and alleging additional facts to state a Section 1983 access-to-the-court or mail tampering claim.

C. Claims against the City of New York

When a plaintiff sues a municipality under Section 1983, it is not enough for the plaintiff to allege that one of the municipality's employees or agents engaged in some wrongdoing. The plaintiff must show that the municipality itself caused the violation of the plaintiff's rights. *See Connick v. Thompson*, 563 U.S. 51, 60 (2011) ("A municipality or other local government may be liable under this section [1983] if the governmental body itself 'subjects' a person to a deprivation of rights or 'causes' a person 'to be subjected' to such deprivation.") (quoting *Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs. of City of New York*, 436 U.S. 658, 692 (1978)); *Cash v. Cnty. of Erie*, 654 F.3d 324, 333 (2d Cir. 2011). In other words, to state a Section 1983 claim against a municipality, the plaintiff must allege facts showing (1) the existence of a municipal policy, custom, or

practice, and (2) that the policy, custom, or practice caused the violation of the plaintiff's constitutional rights. *See Jones v. Town of East Haven*, 691 F.3d 72, 80 (2d Cir. 2012); *Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs of Bryan Cnty. v. Brown*, 520 U.S. 397, 403 (1997) (internal citations omitted).

Here, Plaintiff alleges that another detainee, Andre Antrobus, has also been subjected to mail tampering, and that correction officers follow an "unwritten policy since 03-22-22." (*See* ECF 2, at 4.) Plaintiff alleges no facts about the nature of the alleged policy. Even when read with the "special solicitude" afforded *pro se* litigants, *Triestman*, 470 F.3d at 475, Plaintiff's vague allegations are insufficient to plausibly suggest that the City of New York has a policy, custom, or practice of unconstitutionally tampering with detainees' mail.

Furthermore, because Plaintiff has failed to allege an underlying constitutional violation, it follows that he does not state a Section 1983 claim for municipal liability against the City of New York. *See Segal v. City of New York*, 459 F.3d 207, 219 (2d Cir. 2006) ("Because the district court properly found no underlying constitutional violation, its decision not to address the municipal defendants' liability under [Section 1983] was entirely correct.").

The Court grants Plaintiff to amend his claims to provide any additional facts in support of his claim against the City of New York.

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v.*

USAA Fed. Sav. Bank, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)). Because Plaintiff may be able to allege additional facts to state a valid Section 1983 claim, the Court grants Plaintiff 60 days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims.

Plaintiff is granted leave to amend his complaint to provide more facts about his access-to-court and mail tampering claims, and to allege additional facts suggesting that the City of New York has a policy, practice, or custom that resulted in a violation of his rights. First, Plaintiff must name as defendant(s) in the caption³ and in the statement of claim those individuals who were allegedly involved in the deprivation of his federal rights. If Plaintiff does not know the name of a defendant, he may refer to that individual as "John Doe" or "Jane Doe" in both the caption and the body of the amended complaint.⁴ The naming of John Doe defendants, however, does *not* toll the three-year statute of limitations period governing this action and Plaintiff shall be responsible for ascertaining the true identity of any "John Doe" defendants and amending his complaint to include the identity of any "John Doe" defendants before the statute of limitations period expires. Should Plaintiff seek to add a new claim or party after the statute of limitations period has expired, he must meet the requirements of Rule 15(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff

³ The caption is located on the front page of the complaint. Each individual defendant must be named in the caption. Plaintiff may attach additional pages if there is not enough space to list all of the defendants in the caption. If Plaintiff needs to attach an additional page to list all defendants, he should write "see attached list" on the first page of the amended complaint. Any defendants named in the caption must also be discussed in Plaintiff's statement of claim.

⁴ For example, a defendant may be identified as: "Correction Officer John Doe #1 on duty August 31, 2010, at Sullivan Correctional Facility, during the 7-3 p.m. shift."

should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred;
- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights and how; when and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

The Court dismisses Plaintiff's claims against the New York City Department of Correction for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(b)(ii).

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within sixty days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 22-CV-5333 (LTS). An Amended Civil Rights Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to

comply within the time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the

complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf.

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates

good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

August 1, 2022

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge

10

			RICT COURT RICT OF NEW YORK	-	
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plain -against-				AMEN COMPI under the Civi 42 U.S.C	LAINT il Rights Act,
				Jury Trial: 	□ Yes □ No (check one)
				Civ	()
canno please additi listed	ot fit the name write "see onal sheet of in the above	es of all attach of paper e captio	he full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you led the defendants in the space provided, ed" in the space above and attach an with the full list of names. The names in must be identical to those contained in not be included here.)	_	
I.	Parties	in this	complaint:		
A.	-	nent. I	e, identification number, and the name and to the same for any additional plaintiffs name	-	=
Plain		Curren Addres	t Institutions		
В.	may be s	served.	nts' names, positions, places of employment, Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below Attach additional sheets of paper as necessar	are identical to t	
Defei	ndant No.	1	NameWhere Currently EmployedAddress		

Case 1:22-cv-05333-LTS Document 5 Filed 08/01/22 Page 12 of 17

		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
Defend	dant No. 3	Name	Shield #
Deten	uuiii 110. 5	Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
Defen	dant No. 4	Name	
		Where Currently EmployedAddress	
Defend	dant No. 5	Name	Shield #
		Where Currently Employed	
		Address	
caption	n of this compla	f Claim: ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how int is involved in this action, along with the dude further details such as the names of other	ates and locations of all relevan
State a caption You mrise to number	as briefly as pon n of this complated as wish to ince your claims.	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe howaint is involved in this action, along with the dude further details such as the names of other Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you interest each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach	ates and locations of all relevan er persons involved in the even nd to allege a number of related additional sheets of paper as ne
State a caption You mrise to	as briefly as pon n of this complated as wish to ince your claims.	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how aint is involved in this action, along with the d lude further details such as the names of other Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you inter	ates and locations of all relevan er persons involved in the even nd to allege a number of related additional sheets of paper as ne
State a caption You mrise to number	as briefly as pond of this complant of this complant of the co	essible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe howaint is involved in this action, along with the dude further details such as the names of other Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you interest each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach	ates and locations of all relevan er persons involved in the event and to allege a number of related additional sheets of paper as ne
State a caption You mrise to number	as briefly as pon of this complant of this complant in the your claims. For and set forth In what instite the work in the where in the where in the way is a set of the where in the way is a set of the way in the way in the way in the way is a set of the way in the way in the way in the way is a set of the way in the	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe howaint is involved in this action, along with the dude further details such as the names of other Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you interest each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach a ution did the events giving rise to your claim	ates and locations of all relevan or persons involved in the event and to allege a number of related additional sheets of paper as ne and occur?
State a caption You mrise to number A.	as briefly as pon of this complant of this complant in the your claims. For and set forth In what instite the work in the where in the where in the way is a set of the where in the way is a set of the way in the way in the way in the way is a set of the way in the way in the way in the way is a set of the way in the	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe howaint is involved in this action, along with the data lude further details such as the names of other Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you interest each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach is the ution did the events giving rise to your claim in institution did the events giving rise to your claim institution did the events giving rise to your	ates and locations of all relevan or persons involved in the event and to allege a number of related additional sheets of paper as ne and occur?
State a caption You mrise to number A.	as briefly as pon of this complant of this complant in the your claims. For and set forth In what instite the work in the where in the where in the way is a set of the where in the way is a set of the way in the way in the way in the way is a set of the way in the way in the way in the way is a set of the way in the	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe howaint is involved in this action, along with the data lude further details such as the names of other Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you interest each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach is the ution did the events giving rise to your claim in institution did the events giving rise to your claim institution did the events giving rise to your	ates and locations of all relevanter persons involved in the event and to allege a number of related additional sheets of paper as ne and occur?
State a caption You mrise to number A.	as briefly as por n of this complar and wish to ince your claims. For and set forth In what instite Where in the	ossible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe howaint is involved in this action, along with the data lude further details such as the names of other Do not cite any cases or statutes. If you interest each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach is the ution did the events giving rise to your claim in institution did the events giving rise to your claim institution did the events giving rise to your	ates and locations of all relevanter persons involved in the event and to allege a number of related additional sheets of paper as ne and the color of the color

Case 1:22-cv-05333-LTS Document 5 Filed 08/01/22 Page 13 of 17

-		
Was		
anyone else		
involved?		
	ı	
Who else saw what happened?	III.	Injuries:
	•	y
	If you	a sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical nent, if any, you required and received.
	IV.	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies:
		rison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be
	prisor	ht with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a ner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are ble are exhausted." Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures.
	Α.	Did your claim(s) arise while you were confined in a jail, prison, or other correctional facility?
		Y Y
		Yes No

Case 1:22-cv-05333-LTS Document 5 Filed 08/01/22 Page 14 of 17

Procedure? Yes No Do Not Know C. Does the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your arose cover some or all of your claim(s)? Yes No Do Not Know If YES, which claim(s)? D. Did you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s)? Yes No If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any of prison, or other correctional facility? Yes No If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? 1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process.		ne the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where you were confined at the time of the g rise to your claim(s).
B. Does the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a g procedure? Yes No Do Not Know C. Does the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your arose cover some or all of your claim(s)? Yes No Do Not Know If YES, which claim(s)? D. Did you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s)? Yes No If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any of prison, or other correctional facility? Yes No If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? 1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process.		
Does the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your arose cover some or all of your claim(s)? Yes No Do Not Know If YES, which claim(s)? Did you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) Yes No If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any of prison, or other correctional facility? Yes No If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process.		s the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a grievance
arose cover some or all of your claim(s)? Yes No Do Not Know If YES, which claim(s)? Did you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) Yes No If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any of prison, or other correctional facility? Yes No If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? 1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process.	Yes	No Do Not Know
Did you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s)? Yes No If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any of prison, or other correctional facility? Yes No If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? 1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process.		s the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) e cover some or all of your claim(s)?
Did you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(styless). Yes No If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any of prison, or other correctional facility? Yes No If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? 1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process. If you did not file a grievance:	Yes	No Do Not Know
Yes No If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any of prison, or other correctional facility? Yes No If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? 1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process.	If Y	ES, which claim(s)?
If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any of prison, or other correctional facility? Yes No If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? 1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process.	Did	you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose?
prison, or other correctional facility? Yes No If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? 1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process. If you did not file a grievance:	Yes	No
If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you grievance? 1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process.		O, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any other jail, on, or other correctional facility?
1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? 2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process. If you did not file a grievance:	Yes	No
2. What was the result, if any? 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process. If you did not file a grievance:		ou did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you file the vance?
3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to a the highest level of the grievance process. If you did not file a grievance:	1.	Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve?
If you did not file a grievance:	2.	What was the result, if any?
		What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal to nighest level of the grievance process.
	If yo	ou did not file a grievance:
1. If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here:	1.	If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here:

Case 1:22-cv-05333-LTS Document 5 Filed 08/01/22 Page 15 of 17

	2.	If you did not file a grievance but informed any officials of your claim, state who you informed, when and how, and their response, if any:
G.	Please remedi	set forth any additional information that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administrative es.
Note:	You m admini	ay attach as exhibits to this complaint any documents related to the exhaustion of your strative remedies.
v.	Relief:	
		want the Court to do for you (including the amount of monetary compensation, if any, that g and the basis for such amount).

VI.	Previ	ous lawsuits:		
A.	Have action	you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this n?		
	Yes_	No		
В.	there	ar answer to A is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another sheet of paper, using time format.)		
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:		
	Plain Defer	tiff		
	2. Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)			
	3.	Docket or Index number		
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case		
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit		
	6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No		
		If NO, give the approximate date of disposition		
	7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)		
C.		you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court otherwise relating to your imprisonment? No		
D.	there	ar answer to C is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of paper, using time format.)		
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit:		
	Plain	tiff		
	Defe	ndants		
	2.	Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)		
	3.	Docket or Index number		
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case		
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit		

Rev. 01/2010 6

On these claims

On other claims

6.	Is the case still pending? Yes No
	If NO, give the approximate date of disposition
7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was there judgment in your favor? Was the case appealed?)
I declare un	der penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Signed this _	day of
	Signature of Plaintiff
	Inmate Number
	Institution Address
	laintiffs named in the caption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint and provide inmate numbers and addresses.
I declare und	er penalty of perjury that on this day of, 20, I am delivering
-	at to prison authorities to be mailed to the <i>Pro Se</i> Office of the United States District Court for District of New York.
me soumern	DISTRICT OF NEW TOLK.
	Signature of Plaintiff